

Legal proceedings

Information regarding investigations and other legal proceedings, as well as the potential risks associated with such proceedings and their potential financial impact on Siemens, is included in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements as of September 30, 2010 (Consolidated Financial Statements).

Significant developments regarding investigations and other legal proceedings that have occurred since the preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements are described below.

Public corruption proceedings

Governmental and related proceedings

As previously reported, Siemens AG voluntarily reported a case of attempted public corruption in connection with a 2010 project in Kuwait to the U.S. Department of Justice, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, and the Munich public prosecutor in May 2011. Siemens is cooperating with the authorities in their ongoing investigations which also relate to certain employees.

In July 2011, the Nuremberg-Fuerth public prosecutor notified Siemens AG of an investigation against several employees in connection with payments related to the healthcare business in the Caribbean. Siemens is cooperating with the public prosecutor.

In July 2011, the Munich public prosecutor notified Siemens AG of an investigation against an employee in connection with payments to a supplier related to the oil and gas business in Central Asia entered into from 2000 to 2009. Siemens is cooperating with the public prosecutor.

In October 2011, the Turkish Prime Ministry Inspection Board notified Siemens A.S. Turkey of an investigation in connection with alleged bribery in Turkey and Iraq from 1999 to 2007. Siemens is cooperating with the authority.

In 2011, the Brasilia public prosecutor, Brazil, has opened proceedings to assess allegations against Siemens in connection with a 2007 metro project.

As previously reported, authorities in Russia were conducting an investigation into alleged misappropriation of public funds in connection with the award of contracts to Siemens for the delivery of medical equipment to public authorities in Yekaterinburg in the years 2003 to 2005. On July 5, 2011, the investigation was closed with respect to all material charges.

As previously reported, on March 9, 2009, Siemens AG received a decision by the Vendor Review Committee of the United Nations Secretariat Procurement Division (UNPD) suspending Siemens AG from the UNPD vendor database for a minimum period of six months. The suspension applied to contracts with the UN Secretariat and stemmed from Siemens AG's guilty plea in December 2008 to violations of the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. On December 22, 2009, Siemens AG filed a request to lift the existing suspension. Effective January 1, 2011, the UNPD lifted the suspension against Siemens AG.

As previously reported, in February 2010 a Greek Parliamentary Investigation Committee (GPIC) was established to investigate whether any politicians or other state officials in Greece were involved in alleged wrong-doing of Siemens in Greece. GPIC's investigation is focused on possible criminal liability of politicians and other state officials. Greek public prosecutors are separately investigating certain fraud and bribery allegations involving – among others – former board members and former executives of Siemens A.E. Greece (Siemens A.E.) and Siemens AG. Both investigations may have a negative impact on civil proceedings currently pending against Siemens AG and Siemens A.E. and may affect the future business activities of Siemens in Greece. In January 2011, the GPIC alleged in a letter to Siemens that the damage suffered by the Greek state amounts to at least €2 billion. Furthermore, the GPIC issued a report repeating these allegations. In addition, the Hellenic Republic Minister of State indicated in a letter to Siemens that the Greek state will seek compensation from Siemens for the alleged damage. While Siemens rejects these allegations as unfounded and continues to defend itself, Siemens and the Greek state have engaged in discussions to resolve the matter.

As previously reported, the Nigerian Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC) was conducting an investigation into alleged illegal payments by Siemens to Nigerian public officials between 2002 and 2005. In October 2010, the EFCC filed charges with the Federal High Court in Abuja and the High Court of the Federal Capital Territory against – among others – Siemens Ltd. Nigeria (Siemens Nigeria), Siemens AG and former board members of Siemens Nigeria. On November 22, 2010, the Nigerian Government and Siemens Nigeria entered into an out of court

settlement, obligating Siemens Nigeria to make a payment in the mid double-digit euro million range to Nigeria in exchange for the Nigerian Government withdrawing these criminal charges and refraining from the initiation of any criminal, civil or other actions – such as a debarment – against Siemens Nigeria, Siemens AG, and Siemens employees.

As previously reported, the Vienna public prosecutor, Austria, is conducting an investigation into payments between 1999 and 2006 relating to Siemens AG Austria and its subsidiary Siemens VAI Metal Technologies GmbH & Co. for which valid consideration could not be identified. In September 2011, the Vienna public prosecutor extended the investigations to include a potential corporate liability of Siemens AG Austria for tax evasion. Siemens is cooperating with the authorities.

As previously reported, in 2009, the Anti-Corruption Commission of Bangladesh (ACC) filed criminal charges against two current and one former employee of Siemens Bangladesh's Healthcare business. It is alleged that the employees colluded with employees of a public hospital to overcharge for the delivery of medical equipment in the period before 2007. The ACC has not substantiated the criminal charges within the time limit provided by local law. Siemens Bangladesh filed a motion to dismiss the charges. The court has stayed its proceedings.

The Company remains subject to corruption-related investigations in several jurisdictions around the world. As a result, additional criminal or civil sanctions could be brought against the Company itself or against certain of its employees in connection with possible violations of law. In addition, the scope of pending investigations may be expanded and new investigations commenced in connection with allegations of bribery or other illegal acts. The Company's operating activities, financial results and reputation may also be negatively affected, particularly as a result of penalties, fines, disgorgements, compensatory damages, third-party litigation, including with competitors, the formal or informal exclusion from public invitations to tender, or the loss of business licenses or permits. Additional expenses and provisions, which could be material, may need to be recorded in the future for penalties, fines, damages or other charges in connection with the investigations.

Civil litigation

As previously reported, Siemens was approached by a competitor to discuss claims it believed it had against the Company. The alleged claims related to allegedly improper payments by the Company in

connection with the procurement of public and private contracts. Siemens and the competitor were able to resolve the matter on mutually agreeable terms.

As previously reported, a securities class action was filed in December 2009 against Siemens AG with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York seeking damages for alleged violations of U.S. securities laws. In March 2011, the Court granted the Company's motion to dismiss the action. The plaintiffs' motion to reconsider was denied by the court. Plaintiffs did not appeal the court's decision. Accordingly, the dismissal is final.

Antitrust proceedings

As previously reported, in April 2007, Siemens AG and former VA Tech companies filed actions before the European Court of First Instance in Luxemburg against the decisions of the European Commission dated January 24, 2007, to fine Siemens and former VA Tech companies for alleged antitrust violations in the European Market of high-voltage gas-insulated switchgear between 1988 and 2004. Gas-insulated switchgear is electrical equipment used as a major component for power substations. The fine imposed on Siemens AG amounted to €396.6 million and was paid by the Company in 2007. The fine imposed on former VA Tech companies, which Siemens AG acquired in July 2005, amounted to €22.1 million. In addition, former VA Tech companies were declared jointly liable with Schneider Electric for a separate fine of €4.5 million. On March 3, 2011, the European Court of First Instance dismissed the case regarding the fine imposed on Siemens AG and recalculated the fines for the former VA Tech companies. Former VA Tech companies were declared jointly liable with Schneider Electric for a fine of €8.1 million. Siemens AG and former VA Tech companies have appealed the decision.

In addition to these proceedings, authorities in Brazil, the Czech Republic and Slovakia are conducting investigations into comparable possible antitrust violations. In October 2010, the High Court of New Zealand dismissed corresponding charges against Siemens.

In January 2010, the European Commission launched, as previously reported, an investigation related to previously reported investigations into potential antitrust violations involving producers of flexible current transmission systems in New Zealand and the US including, among others, Siemens AG. In April 2010, authorities in South Korea and Mexico informed the Company that similar proceedings had

been initiated. The official investigations in connection with flexible power transmission systems have been closed. Siemens had been cooperating with all authorities.

As previously reported, on October 25, 2007, upon the Company's appeal, a Hungarian competition court reduced administrative fines imposed on Siemens AG for alleged antitrust violations in the market of high-voltage gas-insulated switchgear from €0.320 million to €0.120 million and from €0.640 million to €0.110 million regarding VA Technologie AG. The Company and the Competition Authority both appealed the decision. In November 2008, the Court of Appeal confirmed the reduction of the fines. On December 5, 2008, the Competition Authority, based on alleged breaches of law, filed an extraordinary appeal with the Supreme Court. In December 2009, Siemens AG was notified that the Supreme Court had remanded the case to the Court of Appeal, with instructions to take a new decision on the amount of the fines. The extraordinary appeal from the Competition Authority was rejected with legally binding effect by the Court of Appeal on January 27, 2010. On April 6, 2010, the Competition Authority filed another extraordinary appeal with the Supreme Court. In April 2011, the Supreme Court sustained the extraordinary appeal of the Competition Authority and remanded the case for a new decision to another chamber of the Court of Appeal. In September 2011, the Court of Appeal confirmed the original administrative fines. This decision is not appealable.

On September 27, 2011, the Israelian Antitrust Authority requested Siemens to present its legal position regarding an alleged anti-competitive arrangement in the field of gas-insulated switchgear, which was in place between April 1988 and April 2004. Siemens is cooperating with the authority.

As previously reported, on November 16, 2010, the Greek Competition Authority searched the premises of Siemens S.A. in Athens in response to allegations of anti-competitive practices in the field of telecommunication and security. Siemens is cooperating with the authority.

As previously reported, on December 15, 2010, and on March 7, 2011, the Turkish Antitrust Authority searched the premises of several diagnostic companies including, among others, Siemens Healthcare Diagnostik Ticaret Limited Sirketi in Istanbul, in response to allegations of anti-competitive agreements. Siemens is cooperating with the authority.

As previously reported, on February 11, 2010, the Italian Antitrust Authority searched the premises of several healthcare companies, among others those of Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics S.r.l. and Siemens S.p.A. The investigation addresses allegations of anti-competitive agreements in relation to

a tender of the procurement entity for the public healthcare sector in the region of Campania for the supply of medical equipment in 2009. On May 5, 2011, the Italian Antitrust Authority sent a Statement of Objections to the companies under investigation which confirmed that the proceedings against Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics S.r.l. were closed, but accused Siemens S.p.A. of having participated in an anti-competitive arrangement. On August 5, 2011, the Italian Antitrust Authority fined several companies, including Siemens S.p.A. for alleged anti-competitive behavior. The fine imposed on Siemens S.p.A. amounts to €1.1 million. The company appealed the decision.

On September 26, 2011, the Competition Commission of Pakistan requested Siemens to present its legal position regarding an alleged anti-competitive arrangement in the field of transformers and air-insulated switchgears which has been in place since 2007. Siemens is cooperating with the authority.

In October 2011, the local Antitrust Authority in Rovno, Ukraine, notified DP Siemens Ukraine of an investigation into anti-competitive practices in connection with a delivery of medical equipment to a public hospital in 2010. Siemens is cooperating with the authority.

Other proceedings

As previously reported, Siemens AG is a member of a supplier consortium that has been contracted to construct the nuclear power plant "Olkiluoto 3" in Finland for Teollisuuden Voima Oyj (TVO) on a turnkey basis. Siemens AG's share of the consideration to be paid to the supplier consortium under the contract is approximately 27 percent. The other member of the supplier consortium is a further consortium consisting of Areva NP S.A.S. and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Areva NP GmbH. The agreed completion date for the nuclear power plant was April 30, 2009. Completion of the power plant has been delayed for reasons which are in dispute. In December 2008, the supplier consortium filed a request for arbitration against TVO demanding an extension of the construction time, additional compensation, milestone payments, damages and interest. In June 2011, the supplier consortium increased its monetary claim; it now amounts to €1.94 billion. In April 2009, TVO rejected the claims and made counterclaims against the supplier consortium. These consist primarily of damages due to the delay amounting to approximately €1.43 billion based on an estimated completion of the plant in June 2012 with a delay of 38 months. Since then the estimated time of completion of the plant has been further delayed, which could increase the counterclaims.

As previously reported, Siemens AG terminated its joint venture with Areva S.A. (Areva) in early 2009. Thereafter Siemens AG entered into negotiations with the State Atomic Energy Corporation Rosatom (Rosatom) with a view to forming a new partnership active in the construction of nuclear power plants, in which it would be a minority shareholder. In April 2009, Areva filed a request for arbitration with the ICC against Siemens AG. Areva sought an order enjoining Siemens AG from pursuing such negotiations with Rosatom, a declaration that Siemens AG is in material breach of its contractual obligations and a reduction of the price payable to Siemens AG for its stake in the Areva NP S.A.S. joint venture. The final award of the arbitral tribunal was notified on May 19, 2011. According to this award, Siemens had to pay Areva liquidated damages of €648 million plus interest. Pursuant to the arbitral award, the disputed non-compete obligation was reduced to four years (ending on September 25, 2013).

As previously reported, Siemens is involved in a power plant construction project in the United States in which one of the other parties to the project filed an arbitration proceeding in June 2011 asserting material claims against certain other parties to the project. While no claims are being asserted against Siemens in the arbitration at this time, it is possible that such claims against Siemens may follow as matters progress.

As previously reported, OSRAM is party to a number of patent lawsuits involving Samsung group companies and LG group companies. On the one hand, OSRAM has sued Samsung group companies and/or LG group companies in the United States, South Korea, Germany, China and Japan for patent infringements, and is requesting injunctions against unauthorized use of the asserted patents and, in some cases, import bans and compensation. In addition, OSRAM has commenced patent invalidation lawsuits relating to LG patents and Samsung patents on Light Emitting Diode (LED) technology in South Korea and relating to LG patents on LED technology in China.

Samsung group companies and/or LG group companies have, on the other hand, initiated patent invalidation lawsuits relating to OSRAM patents on LED technology, in particular white LEDs, in South Korea, Germany, China and Japan. In addition, Samsung group companies and/or LG group companies have filed patent infringement lawsuits in various jurisdictions, such as the USA, South Korea and China, requesting injunctions against unauthorized use of the asserted patents and, in some cases, import bans and compensation from OSRAM. The patent infringement lawsuits initiated by LG group companies partly involve direct and indirect customers of OSRAM, including Siemens. OSRAM is defending itself in these lawsuits.

As previously reported, in December 2008, the Polish Agency of Internal Security (AWB) remanded into custody an employee of Siemens Healthcare Poland, in connection with an investigation regarding a public tender issued by the hospital of Wroclaw in 2008. According to the AWB, the Siemens employee and the deputy hospital director were accused of having manipulated the tender procedure. In October 2010, the investigation was closed.

As previously reported, Russian authorities are conducting widespread investigations regarding possible fraudulent activities of resellers and governmental officials relating to procurement of medical equipment in the public sector. As is the case with other providers of medical equipment, OOO Siemens Russia has received numerous information requests and inquiries were made on-site by the authorities regarding tenders in the public healthcare sector. OOO Siemens Russia is cooperating in the ongoing investigations which also relate to certain individual employees.

As previously reported, in June 2009, Siemens AG and two of its subsidiaries voluntarily self-reported, among others, possible violations of U.S. Export Administration Regulations to the responsible U.S. authorities. On October 4, 2011, the U.S. Department of Commerce notified Siemens that it closed the case without taking further action. On October 5, 2011, the U.S. Department of the Treasury notified Siemens that it opened an investigation. Siemens is cooperating with the authorities.

This document contains forward-looking statements and information – that is, statements related to future, not past, events. These statements may be identified by words such as “expects,” “looks forward to,” “anticipates,” “intends,” “plans,” “believes,” “seeks,” “estimates,” “will,” “project” or words of similar meaning. Such statements are based on the current expectations and certain assumptions of Siemens’ management, and are, therefore, subject to certain risks and uncertainties. A variety of factors, many of which are beyond Siemens’ control, affect Siemens’ operations, performance, business strategy and results and could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of Siemens to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements that may be expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. In particular, Siemens is strongly affected by changes in general economic and business conditions as these directly impact its processes, customers and suppliers. This may negatively impact our revenue development and the realization of greater capacity utilization as a result of growth. Yet due to their diversity, not all of Siemens’ businesses are equally affected by changes in economic conditions; considerable differences exist in the timing and magnitude of the effects of such changes. This effect is amplified by the fact that, as a global company, Siemens is active in countries with economies that vary widely in terms of growth rate. Uncertainties arise from, among other things, the risk of customers delaying the conversion of recognized orders into revenue or cancelling recognized orders, of prices declining as a result of adverse market conditions by more than is currently anticipated by Siemens’ management or of functional costs increasing in anticipation of growth that is not realized as expected. Other factors that may cause Siemens’ results to deviate from expectations include developments in the financial markets, including fluctuations in interest and exchange rates (in particular in relation to the US\$, British £ and the currencies of emerging markets such as China, India and Brazil), in commodity and equity prices, in debt prices (credit spreads) and in the value of financial assets generally. Any changes in interest rates or other assumptions used in calculating obligations for pension plans and similar commitments may impact Siemens’ defined benefit obligations and the anticipated performance of pension plan assets resulting in unexpected changes in the funded status of Siemens’ pension and other post-employment benefit plans. Any increase in market volatility, deterioration in the capital markets, decline in the conditions for the credit business, uncertainty related to the subprime, financial market and liquidity crises, including the sovereign debt crisis in the Eurozone, or fluctuations in the future financial performance of the major industries served by Siemens may have unexpected effects on Siemens’ results. Furthermore, Siemens faces risks and uncertainties in connection with: disposing of business activities, certain strategic reorientation measures, including reorganization measures relating to its segments; the performance of its equity interests and strategic alliances; the challenge of integrating major acquisitions, implementing joint ventures and other significant portfolio measures; the performance, measurement criteria and composition of its environmental portfolio; the introduction of competing products or technologies by other companies or market entries by new competitors; changing competitive dynamics

(particularly in developing markets); the risk that new products or services will not be accepted by customers targeted by Siemens; changes in business strategy; the interruption of our supply chain, including the inability of third parties to deliver parts, components and services on time resulting for example from natural disasters; the outcome of pending investigations, legal proceedings and actions resulting from the findings of, or related to the subject matter of, such investigations; the potential impact of such investigations and proceedings on Siemens' business, including its relationships with governments and other customers; the potential impact of such matters on Siemens' financial statements, and various other factors. More detailed information about certain of the risk factors affecting Siemens is contained throughout this report and in Siemens' other filings with the SEC, which are available on the Siemens website, www.siemens.com, and on the SEC's website, www.sec.gov. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those described in the relevant forward-looking statement as expected, anticipated, intended, planned, believed, sought, estimated or projected. Siemens neither intends to, nor assumes any obligation to, update or revise these forward-looking statements in light of developments which differ from those anticipated.