Legal proceedings

Information regarding investigations and other legal proceedings, as well as the potential risks associated with such proceedings and their potential financial impact on Siemens, is included in the Company’s Consolidated Financial Statements as of September 30, 2011 (Consolidated Financial Statements).

Significant developments regarding investigations and other legal proceedings that have occurred since the preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements are described below.

Public corruption proceedings

Governmental and related proceedings

As previously reported, in May 2011 Siemens AG voluntarily reported a case of attempted public corruption in connection with a 2010 project in Kuwait to the U.S. Department of Justice, the SEC, and the Munich public prosecutor. The Munich public prosecutor discontinued the investigations, which related to certain former employees, but it imposed conditions. Siemens is cooperating with the U.S. authorities in their ongoing investigations.

As previously reported, Siemens AG had filed a request for arbitration against the Republic of Argentina (Argentina) with the International Center for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) of the World Bank. Siemens AG claimed that Argentina had unlawfully terminated its contract with Siemens for the development and operation of a system for the production of identity cards, border control, collection of data and voters’ registers (DNI project) and thereby violated the Bilateral Investment Protection Treaty between Argentina and Germany (BIT). A unanimous decision on the merits was rendered by the ICSID arbitration tribunal in February 2007, awarding Siemens AG, inter alia, compensation in the amount of US$217.8 million, plus compound interest thereon at a rate of 2.66% since May 18, 2001. Argentina subsequently filed applications with the ICSID aiming at the annulment and reversal of the decision and a stay of enforcement of the arbitral award. In August 2009, Argentina and Siemens AG reached an agreement to mutually settle the case and discontinue any and all civil proceedings in connection with the case without acknowledging any legal obligations or claims. No payment was made by either party. As previously reported, the Argentinean Anti-Corruption Authority is conducting an investigation against individuals into
corruption of government officials in connection with the award of the contract for the DNI project to Siemens in 1998. Searches were undertaken at the premises of Siemens Argentina and Siemens IT Services S.A. in Buenos Aires in August 2008 and in February 2009. The Company is cooperating with the Argentinean Authorities. The Argentinean investigative judge also repeatedly requested judicial assistance from the Munich public prosecutor and the federal court in New York. In December 2011, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and U.S. Department of Justice filed an indictment against nine individuals based on the same facts as the investigation of the Argentinean Anti-Corruption Authority. Most of these individuals are former Siemens employees. The former member of the Managing Board of Siemens AG, Dr. Uriel Sharef, is also involved. Siemens AG is not party to the proceedings.

As previously reported, in February 2010 a Greek Parliamentary Investigation Committee (GPIC) was established to investigate whether any politicians or other state officials in Greece were involved in alleged wrong-doing of Siemens in Greece. The GPIC’s investigation was focused on possible criminal liability of politicians and other state officials. Greek public prosecutors are separately investigating certain fraud and bribery allegations involving – among others – former board members and former executives of Siemens A.E., Elektronische Projekte und Erzeugnisse, Greece (Siemens A.E.) and Siemens AG. In January 2011, the GPIC alleged in a letter to Siemens A.E. that the damage suffered by the Greek state amounted to at least €2 billion. Furthermore, the GPIC issued a report repeating these allegations. In addition, the Hellenic Republic Minister of State indicated in a letter to Siemens that the Greek state will seek compensation from Siemens for the alleged damage. On April 5, 2012, the Greek Parliament approved a settlement agreement between Siemens and the Greek State, the material provisions of which include the following: Siemens waives public sector receivables in the amount of €80 million. Furthermore Siemens agrees to spend a maximum of €90 million on various anti-corruption and transparency initiatives, as well as university and research programs and to provide €100 million of financial support to Siemens A.E. to ensure its continued presence in Greece. In exchange, the Greek State agrees to waive all civil claims and all administrative fines related to the corruption allegations and to utilize best efforts to resolve all pending disputes between Siemens and the Greek state-companies or its public authorities.

In February 2012, the Munich public prosecutor notified Siemens AG of a request for mutual assistance in criminal matters by a foreign authority. The investigation of the foreign authority involves a Siemens subsidiary located in North West Europe in connection with alleged payments to employees of a Russian company between 1999 and 2006. Siemens is cooperating with the authorities.
The Company remains subject to corruption-related investigations in several jurisdictions around the world. As a result, additional criminal or civil sanctions could be brought against the Company itself or against certain of its employees in connection with possible violations of law. In addition, the scope of pending investigations may be expanded and new investigations commenced in connection with allegations of bribery or other illegal acts. The Company’s operating activities, financial results and reputation may also be negatively affected, particularly as a result of penalties, fines, disgorgements, compensatory damages, third-party litigation, including with competitors, the formal or informal exclusion from public invitations to tender, or the loss of business licenses or permits. Additional expenses and provisions, which could be material, may need to be recorded in the future for penalties, fines, damages or other charges in connection with the investigations.

**Civil litigation**

As previously reported, Siemens AG reached a settlement with nine out of eleven former members of the Managing and Supervisory Board on December 2, 2009. The settlement relates to claims of breaches of organizational and supervisory duties in view of the accusations of illegal business practices that occurred in the course of international business transactions in the years 2003 to 2006 and the resulting financial burdens for the Company. The Annual Shareholders’ Meeting approved all nine settlements between the Company and the former members of the Managing and Supervisory Board on January 26, 2010. The shareholders also approved a settlement agreement between the Company and its directors and officers insurers regarding claims in connection with the D&O insurance of up to €100 million. Siemens recorded €96 million gains, net of costs, from the D&O insurance and the nine settlements. On January 25, 2010, Siemens AG filed a lawsuit with the Munich District Court I against the two former board members who were not willing to settle, Thomas Ganswindt and Heinz-Joachim Neubürger, which is currently pending. Siemens AG and Mr. Ganswindt are in discussions to resolve the matter.

**Antitrust proceedings**

As previously reported, in February 2007, the European Commission launched an investigation into possible antitrust violations involving European producers of power transformers, including Siemens AG and VA Technologie AG (VA Tech), which Siemens acquired in July 2005. The German Antitrust Authority (Bundeskartellamt) has become involved in the proceeding and is responsible for investigating those allegations that relate to the German market. Power transformers are electrical equipment used as major components in electric transmission systems in order to adapt voltages. On October 7, 2009, the European Commission imposed fines totaling...
€67.644 million on seven companies with regard to a territorial market sharing agreement related to Japan and Europe. Siemens was not fined because it had voluntarily disclosed this aspect of the case to the authorities. The German Antitrust Authority continued its investigation with regard to the German market. In September 2012, the German Antitrust Authority and the Company ended the legal proceeding by entering into a settlement agreement. Siemens agreed to pay a fine in the single-digit euro million range.

As previously reported, in October 2011, the local Antitrust Authority in Rovno, Ukraine, notified Siemens Ukraine of an investigation into anti-competitive practices in connection with a delivery of medical equipment to a public hospital in 2010. Siemens cooperated with the authority. The authority imposed a fine in an amount equivalent to €4,000. Siemens Ukraine did not appeal the decision.

As previously reported, in September 2011, the Competition Commission of Pakistan requested Siemens Pakistan Engineering Co. Ltd., Pakistan (Siemens Pakistan), to present its legal position regarding an alleged anti-competitive arrangement since 2007 in the field of transformers and air-insulated switchgears. In December 2011, Siemens Pakistan filed a leniency application. In April 2012, the Competition Commission of Pakistan accepted the leniency application and granted Siemens Pakistan a 100 percent penalty reduction for the alleged behavior.

As previously reported, in December 2010 and in March 2011, the Turkish Antitrust Authority searched the premises of several diagnostic companies including, among others, Siemens Healthcare Diagnostik Ticaret Limited Sirketi, Turkey, in response to allegations of anti-competitive agreements. Siemens cooperated with the authority. In May 2012, the Turkish Antitrust Authority decided that the law has not been violated, and discontinued the proceedings.

As previously reported, in February 2010, the Italian Antitrust Authority searched the premises of several healthcare companies, among others those of Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics S.r.l. and Siemens S.p.A. The investigation addresses allegations of anti-competitive agreements in relation to a tender of the procurement entity for the public healthcare sector in the region of Campania for the supply of medical equipment in 2009. In May 2011, the Italian Antitrust Authority sent a Statement of Objections to the companies under investigation which confirmed that the proceedings against Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics S.r.l. were closed, but accused Siemens S.p.A. of having participated in an anti-competitive arrangement. In August 2011, the Italian Antitrust Authority fined several companies, including Siemens S.p.A. for alleged anti-competitive behavior. The fine imposed on Siemens S.p.A. amounts to €1.1 million. The company appealed
the decision. In April 2012, the Administrative Court for the region of Latium overruled the decision of the Italian Antitrust Authority.

In May 2012, the Brazilian Anti Trust Authority notified Siemens Ltda., Brazil of an investigation into anti-trust behavior in the field of air-insulated switchgear and other products from 1997 to 2006. Siemens is cooperating with the authorities.

Other proceedings

As previously reported, Siemens AG is a member of a supplier consortium that has been contracted to construct the nuclear power plant “Olkiluoto 3” in Finland for Teollisuuden Voima Oyj (TVO) on a turnkey basis. Siemens AG’s share of the consideration to be paid to the supplier consortium under the contract is approximately 27%. The other member of the supplier consortium is a further consortium consisting of Areva NP S.A.S. and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Areva NP GmbH. The agreed completion date for the nuclear power plant was April 30, 2009. Completion of the power plant has been delayed for reasons which are in dispute. In December 2011, the supplier consortium informed TVO that the completion of the plant is expected in August 2014. The supplier consortium and TVO currently assess potential further slippage in the schedule. The final phases of the plant completion require the full cooperation of all parties involved. In December 2008, the supplier consortium filed a request for arbitration against TVO demanding an extension of the construction time, additional compensation, milestone payments, damages and interest. In June 2011, the supplier consortium increased its monetary claim to €1.94 billion (and has not updated it since then). TVO rejected the claims and made counterclaims against the supplier consortium consisting primarily of damages due to the delay. In June 2012, the arbitral tribunal rendered a partial award ordering the release of withheld milestone payments to the supplier consortium of approximately €101 million plus interest. As of September 2012, TVO’s alleged counterclaims amounted to €1.59 billion based on a delay of up to 56 months. Based on a completion in August 2014, TVO estimates that its counterclaims amount to €1.77 billion. The further delay beyond December 2013 as well as the potential materialization of further schedule uncertainties in the completion of the plant could lead TVO to increase its counterclaims. The arbitration proceedings may continue for several years.

As previously reported, OSRAM is party to a number of patent lawsuits involving Samsung group companies and LG group companies. On the one hand, OSRAM has sued Samsung group companies and/or LG group companies and some of the customers of these companies in the U.S., South Korea, Germany, China and Japan for patent infringements, and is requesting injunctions against unauthorized use of the asserted patents and, in some cases, import bans and
In addition, OSRAM has commenced patent invalidation lawsuits relating to LG patents and Samsung patents on Light Emitting Diode (LED) technology in South Korea and relating to LG patents on LED technology in China, Germany and the US. Samsung group companies and/or LG group companies have, on the other hand, initiated patent invalidation lawsuits relating to OSRAM patents on LED technology, in particular white LEDs, in South Korea, Germany, the US, China and Japan. In addition, Samsung group companies and/or LG group companies have filed patent infringement lawsuits in various jurisdictions, such as the U.S., South Korea, Germany and China, requesting injunctions against unauthorized use of the asserted patents and, in some cases, import bans and compensation from OSRAM. The patent infringement lawsuits initiated by LG group companies and Samsung group companies partly involve direct and indirect customers of OSRAM. OSRAM is defending itself in these lawsuits. In August 2012, OSRAM and Samsung entered into a settlement agreement and terminated the lawsuits pending between them. In October 2012 OSRAM and LG entered into a settlement agreement and are in the process of terminating the lawsuits pending between them.

In July 2008, Hellenic Telecommunications Organization S.A. (OTE) filed a lawsuit against Siemens AG with the district court of Munich, Germany, seeking to compel Siemens AG to disclose the outcome of its internal investigations with respect to OTE. OTE seeks to obtain information with respect to allegations of undue influence and/or acts of bribery in connection with contracts concluded between Siemens AG and OTE from 1992 to 2006. In May 2009, OTE was granted access to the public prosecutor’s files in Greece. At the end of July 2010, OTE expanded its claim and requested payment of damages by Siemens AG of at least €57.07 million to OTE for alleged bribery payments to OTE-employees. While Siemens AG continues to defend itself against the expanded claim, Siemens AG and OTE remain in discussions to resolve the matter.

In December 2011, the United States Attorney’s Office for the Northern District of New York served a Grand Jury subpoena on Siemens that seeks records of consulting payments for business conducted by the Building Technologies business unit in New York State over the period from January 1, 2000 through September 30, 2011. Siemens is cooperating with the authority.

In February 2012, the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of New York served a subpoena on Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc. for information relating to a diagnostics process. Siemens is cooperating with the authority.

As previously reported, a Mexican governmental control authority had barred Siemens S.A. de C.V. Mexico (Siemens Mexico) from bidding on public contracts for a period of three years and
nine months beginning November 30, 2005. This proceeding arose from allegations that Siemens Mexico did not disclose alleged minor tax discrepancies when it was signing a public contract in 2002. Upon several appeals by Siemens Mexico, the execution of the debarment was stayed, the debarment subsequently reduced to a period of four months, and in June 2009 the Company was finally informed by the relevant administrative court that the debarment was completely annulled. In June 2012, Siemens Mexico was informed that in connection with the aforementioned incident a new blacklisting procedure had been initiated by the Internal Controlling Office of the Mexican Institute of Social Security.

This document contains statements related to our future business and financial performance and future events or developments involving Siemens that may constitute forward-looking statements. These statements may be identified by words such as “expects,” “looks forward to,” “anticipates,” “intends,” “plans,” “believes,” “seeks,” “estimates,” “will,” “project” or words of similar meaning. We may also make forward-looking statements in other reports, in presentations, in material delivered to stockholders and in press releases. In addition, our representatives may from time to time make oral forward-looking statements. Such statements are based on the current expectations and certain assumptions of Siemens’ management, and are, therefore, subject to certain risks and uncertainties. A variety of factors, many of which are beyond Siemens’ control, affect Siemens’ operations, performance, business strategy and results and could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of Siemens to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements that may be expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements or anticipated on the basis of historical trends. These factors include in particular, but are not limited to, the matters described in Item 3: Risk factors of our most recent annual report on Form 20-F filed with the SEC, in the chapter “Risks” of our most recent annual report prepared in accordance with the German Commercial Code, and in the chapter “Report on risks and opportunities” of our most recent interim report.

Further information about risks and uncertainties affecting Siemens is included throughout our most recent annual and interim reports, as well as our most recent earnings release, which are available on the Siemens website, www.siemens.com, and throughout our most recent annual report on Form 20-F and in our other filings with the SEC, which are available on the Siemens website, www.siemens.com, and on the SEC’s website, www.sec.gov. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results, performance or achievements of Siemens may vary materially from those described in the relevant forward-looking statement as being expected, anticipated, intended, planned, believed, sought, estimated or projected. Siemens neither intends, nor assumes any obligation, to update or revise these forward-looking statements in light of developments which differ from those anticipated.